

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/843,834	04/30/2001	Ronny Kimmel	10001200-1	1010
7590 03/22/2004 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			COUSO, YON JUNG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	4 6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		MA/
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commons	09/843,834	KIMMEL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yon Couso	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a renication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ill, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice 	(x) This action is non-final. Or allowance except for formal matter	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 April 2001 is Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object ion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand he correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
_	ocuments have been received. ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been r al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □	
 1) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-3) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or P² Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4.5</u>. 		/Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 2625

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kimmel et al "A Variational Framework for Retinex (XP-002243109)".

As per claims 1 and 10, Kimmel teaches an image enhancement method, comprising: capturing an image; constructing a multi-resolution structure comprising one or more resolution layers (page 9, under 3.2.2. Multi-Resolution); processing each resolution layer using an iterative algorithm having at least one iteration (pages 10-11 under 3. Main Loop); projecting each processed resolution layer to a subsequent resolution layer (page 10, step (d) under 3. Main Loop); up-calling each projected resolution layer to the subsequent resolution layer (page 11, under 4. Update the next resolution layer); and using the projected resolution layers to estimate an illumination image (I, illumination image calculated).

As per claims 2 and 11, Kimmel teaches, for each of one or more iterations, calculating a gradient of a penalty functional; and computing an optimal line-search step size (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 3 and 12, Kimmel teaches that the penalty functional is given by:

F [I] = $\int_{\Omega} (|\nabla I|^2 + \alpha \nabla I (I - s)^2 + \beta |\nabla (I - s)|^2) dxdy$; subject to I \geq s and $|\nabla I, n| = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$; wherein Ω is a support of the image, $\partial \Omega$ is an image boundary, n is a

Art Unit: 2625

normal to the image boundary, and α and β are free non-negative real numbers (page 7, equation (1)).

As per claims 4 and 13, Kimmel teaches that the penalty functional is given by: $[1] = \int_{\Omega} \{ w_1 (\nabla s) |\nabla 1|^2 + \alpha (1-s) + \beta w_2 (\nabla s) |\nabla 1|^2 \} dxdy \text{ where } w_1 \text{ and } w_2 \text{ are non-linear functions of the gradient (page 11, lines 1-4).}$

As per claims 5 and 14, Kimmel teaches the iterative algorithm is a Projected Normalized Steepest Descent algorithm (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 6 and 15, Kimmel teaches the iterative algorithm is a Steepest Descent algorithm (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 7 and 16, Kimmel teaches a set of constraints comprise that the illumination is greater than the image intensity, L>S (I \geq s in Kimmel meet the requirement of L>S).

As per claims 8 and 17, Kimmel teaches applying penalty terms, the penalty terms, comprising: that the illumination is spatially smooth; that the reflectance is maximized; that the reflectance is piece-wise smooth (pages 8-9 under <u>3.2.1 Projected Normalized Steepest Descent</u>).

As per claims 9 and 18, Kimmel teaches computing the reflectance image based on the captured image and the estimated illumination image; computing a gamma correction factor; applying the gamma correction factor to the estimated illumination image; and multiplying the gamma-corrected illumination image and the reflectance image, thereby producing a corrected image (page 13, figure 3).

Art Unit: 2625

As per claim 19, Kimmel teaches a method for enhancing an image S, the image S comprising a reflectance R and an illumination L (page 2, under 1. Introduction lines 1-7), the method comprising: constructing a multi-resolution image structure having one or more resolution layers (page 9, under 3.2.2. Multi-Resolution); processing the resolution layers using an iterative algorithm (pages 10-11 under 3. Main Loop); projecting the processed resolution layers onto a set of constraints, the set of constraints comprising boundary conditions and that L>S (pages 10-11 under 3. Main Loop and $I \ge s$ in Kimmel meet the requirement of L>S) and using the projected resolution layers to estimate an illumination image (I, illumination image calculated).

As per claim 20, Kimmel teaches that the image S is a RGB domain color image, the method further comprising, mapping colors R, G, B of the image S into a luminance/chrominance color space, applying a correction factor to a luminance Layer, and mapping the luminance/chrominance colors back to the RGB domain (page 12, under 4. Color Images).

- 2. Ids filed 7-22-03 and 9-03-03 have been considered. However, references 1R and 1S (the same reference is cited two times) do not qualify as prior art since the publication date is cited as January, 2002.
- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tao et al, Hubel et al and Abdulwahab et al are also cited.

Art Unit: 2625

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Yon Couso whose telephone number is (703) 305-4779.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am -5:00 pm from Monday to Friday

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached on (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

YON J. COUSO PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

Yjc

March 18, 2004